Inertial sensor and Timed Up and Go test in elderly women with bone demineralization: a reliability and agreement study

Sensor inercial e Timed Up and Go em idosas com desmineralização óssea: estudo de confiabilidade e concordância
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ABSTRACT
The study aims to assess: 1) reliability test-retest and error measures of quantitative variables of Timed Up and Go (TUG) testing collected with the inertial sensor wireless Wiva® Science (TUGis), and 2) the agreement of TUGis and the timed method (TUGs) and between the two methods applied to elderly women with osteoporosis and osteopenia. Eighteen elderly women with bone demineralization were enrolled in this study. The time (s) for two executions of the TUG (T1 and T2) was measured with a manual stopwatch by an evaluator and with the inertial sensor attached to the body at the level of the L5 vertebra with remote collection. T1 and T2 were performed with an interval of 1 min. TUG’s subtasks were also captured by the inertial sensor. The reliability test-retest and error variables were assessed by the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), standard error of measurement (SEM) and minimal detectable change (MDC). The agreement between the averages from the total time of the TUGs and TUGis and between T1 and T2 measured with the sensor and stopwatch were evaluated by the Bland-Altman method. The consistency inside of the subtasks TUGis ranged from substantial to almost complete. The SEM and MDC for TUGis were 1.27s and 2.48s, respectively. The agreement between sensor and stopwatch measurements showed low systematic error. The inertial sensor was reliable in verifying the performance of the TUG and can be a complement for assessing the risk of falls and functional mobility of elderly women with bone demineralization. However, it does not represent an improvement in the exclusive investigation of the total test time.
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RESUMO
O estudo visa avaliar: 1) confiabilidade teste-reteste e medidas de erro das variáveis quantitativas do teste Timed Up and Go (TUG) coletadas com o sensor inercial wireless Wiva® Science (TUGis) e, 2) a concordância do TUGis e o método cronometrado (TUGs) e entre os dois métodos aplicados em idosas com osteoporose e osteopenia. Foram recrutadas 18 idosas com desmineralização óssea. O tempo (s) de duas execuções do TUG (T1 e T2) foi mensurado com cronômetro manual por um avaliador e com o sensor inercial acoplado ao corpo em nível da vértebra L5 com coleta remota. T1 e T2 foram executadas com intervalo de 1 min. As subtarefas do TUG também foram captadas pelo sensor inercial. A confiabilidade teste-reteste e erro das variáveis foram avaliados pelo coeficiente de correlação intraclass (CCI), erro padrão de medida (EPM) e mínima mudança detectável (MMD). A concordância entre as médias do tempo total do TUGis e TUGs e entre T1 e T2 mensuradas com o sensor e cronômetro foram avaliadas pelo método Bland-Altman. O EPM e a MMD para o TUGis foram de 1,27s e 2,48s, respectivamente. A concordância entre medidas do sensor e cronômetro apresentaram baixo erro sistêmico. O sensor inercial foi confiável na verificação do desempenho do TUG e pode ser um complemento para avaliação de risco de quedas e mobilidade funcional de idosas com desmineralização óssea. Porém, não representa melhoria na investigação exclusiva do tempo total do teste.

Palavras-chave: Osteoporose; Envelhecimento; Reprodutibilidade dos testes.

Introduction
The professionals involved in the functional health of the elderly have sought ways to promote independence and to predict problems related to falls1. For this reason, the identification of the risk of falls has become important given the prevalence of falling in elderly brazilians (26.7%)2. Falls are the main mechanism of fractures among the elderly, especially in those with bone demineralization3, a common condition in females. Elderly women with osteoporosis are 2.17 times
more likely to fall and report significantly more falls in one year when compared to elderly women without osteoporosis.

One way of preventing falls is early tracking by assessing physical performance with functional tests. Among these tests, Timed Up and Go (TUG) observes abnormalities in balance and functional mobility with a timed approach, quantifying in seconds (s) the accomplishment of the task of getting up from a chair, walking 3m, going back to the chair and sit again. More accurate data on the discriminatory ability of TUG in a population at risk of falling have been researched, with emphasis on inertial sensors. These electronic devices have accelerometers that allow kinematic assessment of movement and the capture of more detailed quantitative measures at a low cost for the study of static and dynamic balance. In addition, they make it possible to observe the subtasks that make up the TUG test and are not captured by the stopwatch in the conventional measurement.

TUG’s reliability measured by sensors with accelerometers has been reported in healthy elderly, patients with Parkinson’s Disease, in people with vestibular disorders and adults. In all cases cited, the method’s reliability was considered satisfactory, with variations in the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) between 0.60-0.90. However, there is little information about the reliability of the TUG assessed with inertial sensors in elderly women with low bone mineral density, even being a frequent target population for studies due to their risk of falling.

Given the above, the objective of the study was to analyze the reliability and agreement of the TUG with an inertial sensor in elderly women with bone demineralization between 60-85 years of age, residents of Recife-PE, Brazil, evaluated between September 2016 and February 2017, in the Laboratory of Kinesiotherapy and Manual Therapeutic Resources (Laboratório de Cinesioterapia e Recursos Terapêuticos Manuais, LACIRTEM) of the Department of Physiotherapy and in the Multipurpose Laboratory of the Department of Physical Education of the Federal University of Pernambuco (Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, UFPE).

The convenience sample consisted of elderly women who had already diagnosed bone demineralization and were enrolled or who had already participated in university extension programs in the aforementioned departments. The extension programs indicated involve diversified activities related to physical activity and quality of life with varied exercises to maintain and/or improve strength, agility, endurance, flexibility.

The World Health Organization (WHO) diagnostic criterion was used for Osteoporosis (T-score ≤ -2.5 SD of a reference population) and Osteopenia (T-score between -2.5 and -1 SD). Exclusion criteria for the study were: orthostatism and non-independent gait; use of walking aids due to arthritic, venous and orthopedic conditions; amputations in lower limbs; neurological disorders; visual problems such as blindness or low vision (impaired visual functioning even after treatment and/or correction of common refractive errors); vestibular problems and/or acute pain from any cause.

This is a study of repeated, transversal and analytical measures. After the invitation, explanation of the research and acceptance to participate in the study, by signing the Free and Informed Consent Term (FICT), the collection procedures took place with the completion of an evaluation form for the clinical and anthropometric profile, evaluation of the bone densitometry, in addition to two executions of the TUG (T1, T2) with an interval of 1 minute between them, after previous guidance on the test procedure.

The bone mineral densit was measured by the Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) technique with a device Lunar Prodigy Primo (GE Medical Systems, Wisconsin, United States) by a trained investigator to handle. The daily calibration of the equipment took place prior to the exams and followed the recommendations proposed by the equipment manufacturer. The participants were instructed not to drink alcohol for 48 h before the test, to avoid the consumption of diuretics.
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ages of TUGis and TUGs. The plot of the graph shows the mean and the difference of the values matched on the x and y axes, respectively, in addition to the limits of agreement (LA), bias value and its standard deviation. Data were treated with Software Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 19.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) and Graph Prisma®. 5.03. A safety margin of 95% reliability was used for all tests according to the sample and proposed objectives.

Results

This study was composed of 18 participants with a mean age of 69.91 ± 5.34 years, a mean body mass index (BMI) of 28.75 ± 4.36 kg/m². As for bone demineralization, 11 presented with osteopenia and 7 with steoporosis. Fourteen elderly women mentioned doing some physical activity (150 min of weekly physical activity). Among the comorbidities, 10 mentioned having hypertension, four elderly women had cardiovascular diseases and one reported having diabetes mellitus. Ca+/ Vitamin D supplementation is performed by eight participants. During the last year, the fall event was mentioned by nine elderly women.

Table 1 shows the times in seconds of the components of TUGis and total averages of T1 and T2 of TUGs and TUGis, as well as the reliability indices of repeated measures. The averages of TUGs and TUGis obtained almost complete reliability and the subtasks of TUG are varied between substantial and almost complete reliability.

There was agreement between the T1 and T2 tests measured in the TUGis instrumented modality. The visual analysis in Figure 1 shows the absence of bias. Figure 2 shows the agreement assessed in the T1 and T2 measurements measured with the manual stopwatch. Regarding the ways of measuring the TUG, considering the averages of the tests evaluated with the sensor and the stopwatch, low bias was also observed (Figure 3).

In all graphical representations it is possible to identify the presence of an outlier that represents a user with more time than the test execution in relation to the other participants.

Table 1 – Components of TUGis subtasks and total time with TUGs and TUGis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>CI95%</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>MDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TUGs</td>
<td>10.55 ± 5.43</td>
<td>10.25 ± 4.00</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.34 ± 1.47</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.90; 0.98</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUGis</td>
<td>11.26 ± 5.58</td>
<td>10.91 ± 4.96</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.30 ± 1.79</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94; 0.99</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting-to stand</td>
<td>2.11 ± 1.40</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.90</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.42 ± 1.20</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.81; 0.86</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait Forward</td>
<td>2.85 ± 1.61</td>
<td>2.63 ± 1.46</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.81</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.80; 0.97</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turning</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.71</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>-0.01 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.54; 0.93</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gait Backward</td>
<td>2.79 ± 1.72</td>
<td>2.61 ± 1.16</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.70</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.84; 0.97</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turning to sit</td>
<td>2.54 ± 1.07</td>
<td>2.71 ± 1.53</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-0.17 ± 1.02</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.54; 0.93</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

T1 = first execution of the TUG; T2 = second execution of the TUG; p* = p-value; ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient; SEM = standard error of measurement; MDC = minimum detectable change; TUGis = TUG captured with inertial sensor; TUGs = TUG captured with a stopwatch. Note = p* value referring to the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.
Discussion

This study investigated the test-retest reliability and agreement of repeated measurements taken with the sensor inertial wireless Wiva® Science while performing the TUG by elderly with bone demineralization. The data collected with the sensor were reproducible and demonstrated high reliability, both between collections with different measurement methods, and in the TUG test subtasks captured by the sensor. In addition, the study of the measurement error showed low values of SEm and MDC on the use of the electronic device for both total times and subtasks.

The reliability of time and components of the instrumented TUG is have been used as complementary measures in predictive fall discrimination for healthy adults and elderly15,22 and also individuals with diseases or disabilities 21,27–29. Despite the variable number of subtasks captured by sensors with accelerometers, which identify kinematic events in studies on the topic, our results corroborate data obtained in adults that point out that the components of the TUG that involve the activity with a chair during the test obtained lower values of ICC11. Only the transfer from sitting to rising showed a greater measurement error (SEm= 0.85) and lower reliability (ICC= 0.63; IC 95%) in relation to the other phases of TUGis.

All subtasks that make up the TUG test obtained SEm lower than 1s and MDC around 2s. The MDC of the total times of T1 and T2 evaluated by the sensor and the stopwatch showed time around 1.12 to 2.4 s, which is close to variations between 1.5 and 2 s observed in the literature with motion sensors, stopwatches and optoelectronic markers11. There are some hypotheses related to the measurement error such as differences in the instructions given by the evaluator or differences in the subjects’ behavior over time, which can denote different gait speeds during repeated test execution16.

This may suggest that the variability between the participants in the repetition of the test may be the main source of error instead of the technique itself11. Another hypothesis, related to the device, may be related to accuracy. The difference between marking the start of the test and the start of the participant’s movement according to his reaction time could originate this difference16.

The plotting of Bland Altman graphs indicates agreement between the conventional method and the instrument with low bias. This bias was attributed to the evaluator’s precision in defining the start and end of the test16. In addition to this factor, the poor alignment of the sensor and the friction generated between the clothing and skin of the evaluated participants have also been reported as a reason for the error and, finally, may reflect the agreement between the two forms of measurements15.

As it is a small instrument and at a lower cost, it could be an alternative option considering that the “gold standard” for the analysis of TUG subtasks involves capturing images by motion analysis11,16. In this situation, capturing images requires a system of visual movement analysis that would make it difficult to measure the test outside well-equipped environments, such as laboratories and research centers.

Even without having standardized time references for instrumented TUG, these findings can guide a more qualitative approach to the functionality of elderly women with bone demineralization in order to prevent falls. It must be considered that the good performance of the TUG depends on the integration and time of the various subtasks necessary to meet the general demands of the task30. Thus, the use of sensors allows a more detailed view of the gestures that is not allowed in the evaluation of the total test time.

A study of the test’s subtasks in the community’s elderly showed that the variables that involved transfers and turning on the axis itself, both related to trunk mobility, were the most accurate in classifying the risk of falling22,28. Approaching these results to clinical practice, there is an indication that the use of these subtasks can more specifically identify which gestures require more time to be performed by the elderly women with bone demineralization and possibly a higher risk of failure due to the difficulty of performing it.

In the comparison of the two methods, our data included only time measurements in seconds. Even with
low measurement errors, it would not in itself justify the replacement of the timed measurement method, since it would not represent a necessary improvement in the evaluation. Thus, the use of these devices in the evaluation of TUG time is shown to be feasible, but do not stand out from the conventional way of measuring with stopwatches, when considering the exclusive evaluation of the total test time.

Future studies may also include measures of angulation and speed in the execution of the subtasks of the TUG in order to bring new information on strategies and failures in carrying out the test to ascertain the functional health and motor control of elderly women with bone demineralization, the target audience of this study. The small sample size and presentation only of test-retest reliability create a limitation to our study. Intra- and inter-evaluator analyses are recommended, as well as the investigation of more accelerometer fixation points as a result of the research on the reliability of the device in question.

It was concluded that the use of the inertial sensor was reproducible and reliable for the evaluation of TUG in elderly women with bone demineralization, despite not being superior to the manual stopwatch for checking the total time of execution of the TUG. The investigation of the subtasks of the TUG test represents a possibility of complement in the assessment of risk of falls and functional mobility of elderly women with bone demineralization.
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